Synchronisation Force 2023 - preliminary survey

# Project or initiative

# Session 1 Monday, November 27: Metrics and assessing FAIRness

## 1. What does your project or initiative do to implement metrics?

## 2. If your project, initiative, community or organisation use tools for automated assessment of the FAIRness of digital objects, especially for research software, semantic artefacts, or data, which do you use? What informed the decision?

## 3. Is your project, initiative, community or organisation investigating or implementing discipline or community-specific metrics for FAIR assessments?

## 4. Do you have any suggestions to improve the (generic or discipline-specific) metrics and tools you use?

## 5. Do the tools and metrics require further convergence? If so, why would this be useful in your community or organisation?

## 6. How is the adoption of FAIR principles being measured in your community or infrastructure?

# Session 2 Tuesday, November 28: Metadata, semantics and interoperability

## 1. What does your project/institution/community do to implement semantic artefacts (ontologies, schemas , standard, vocabularies, etc) into your (meta)data?

## 2. In your project/institution/community do you publish your (meta)data following FAIR principles?

## 3. Are you reuse data from other project/institution/community? :

## 4. What are the worst data practices adopted in your project/institution/community?

# Session 3 Thursday, November 30: Persistent identifiers

## 1. What does your project or initiative do to implement PIDs? :

## 2. What are the guiding principles for you when choosing a PID system or service?

## 3. What does in your opinion constitute trust in a PID service?:

# Session 4 Tuesday, December 5: Trustworthy and FAIR-enabling repositories

## 1. ​​What is your project or initiative doing to help define trustworthiness?:

## 2. What is your project or initiative doing to increase the number and visibility of trustworthy, FAIR-enabling and/or certified repositories? :

## 3. In your project, initiative, or community, do you work with repositories that consider themselves to be trustworthy and/or have a certification such as CoreTrustSeal? See above.

## 4. Are you planning to sustain your activities and/or efforts? If so, how?:

## 5. How can we best work together to progress a network of TDRs? :

# Session 5 Thursday, December 7: Legal & organisational interoperability

## Are you aware of existing registries, catalogues or vocabularies enabling any of the following:

* Identification of permanent organisation names and functions
* Use of standardised version of licence name, URI
* Specification of access rights
* Declaration of special permissions, restrictions, conditions

## Licensing and Permissions: What are the licensing terms and conditions of the datasets?

Are they compatible with each other?

Do the datasets have clear permissions for sharing, modification, and commercial use?

Are there any restrictions on data use that might hinder interoperability?

## Data Protection and Privacy: Do the datasets contain personal or sensitive data?

How is this data protected in accordance with privacy laws (e.g., GDPR)?

Are there any obligations related to data anonymization, pseudonymization, or consent that need to be met when combining datasets?

## Data Sharing Agreements: Have data sharing agreements been established among the data providers?

Do these agreements allow for combined use of the datasets?

What are the terms and conditions of these agreements, and how do they impact interoperability?

## Intellectual Property Rights: Are there any intellectual property rights associated with the datasets, such as patents or copyrights?

How do these rights affect the use, distribution, and modification of the combined datasets?

##

## End User Rights: What rights do end users have when using the combined datasets?

How do the terms of use impact these rights?

## What does your project or initiative do to implement legal interoperability?

Please provide any relevant links.